



# **Case Study Analysis for the Proposed Section 316(b) Phase II Existing Facilities Rule**

# **Case Study Analysis for the Proposed Section 316(b) Phase II Existing Facilities Rule**

**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Science and Technology  
Engineering and Analysis Division**

**Washington, DC 20460  
February 28, 2002**

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared by the Office of Water staff. The following contractors provided assistance and support in performing the underlying analysis supporting the conclusions detailed in this document.

Stratus Consulting Inc.  
Abt Associates Inc.  
Tetra Tech  
Science Applications International Corporation

The Office of Water has reviewed and approved this document for publication. The Office of Science and Technology directed, managed, and reviewed the work of the contractors in preparing this document. Neither the United States Government nor any of its employees, contractors, subcontractors, or their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use of or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process discussed in this document, or represents that its use by such party would not infringe on privately owned rights.

# Table of Contents

## **Chapter A1: Ecological Risk Assessment Framework**

- A1-1 Problem Formulation
- A1-2 Analysis
- A1-3 Risk Characterization

## **Chapter A2: Everything You Ever Wanted to Know about Fish**

- A2-1 Introduction
- A2-2 Fish Diversity and Abundance
- A2-3 Influence of Fish on Aquatic Systems
- A2-4 Exterior Fish Anatomy
- A2-5 Interior Anatomy

## **Chapter A3: Aquatic Organisms Other than Fish that are Vulnerable to CWIS**

- A3-1 Plankton
- A3-2 Macroinvertebrates
- A3-3 Sea Turtles and Other Vertebrate Species
- A3-4 Conclusions

## **Chapter A4: Direct and Indirect Effects of CWIS on Birds**

- A4-1 Direct Effects on Birds
- A4-2 Indirect Effects on Fish-Eating Birds
- A4-3 Understanding the Effects of Food Reduction on Bird Populations

## **Chapter A5: Methods Used to Evaluate I&E**

- A5-1 Overview of Procedure for Evaluating I&E
- A5-2 Source Data
- A5-3 Biological Models Used to Evaluate I&E
- A5-4 Uncertainty

## **Chapter A6: Fish Population Modeling and the § 316(b) Benefits Case Studies**

- A6-1 Background
- A6-2 Use of Stock-Recruitment Models in Fisheries Management
- A6-3 Use of Stock-Recruitment Models to Evaluate CWIS Impacts
- A6-4 Uncertainty in Stock-Recruitment Models
- A6-5 Precautionary Approach

**Chapter A7: Entrainment Survival**

- A7-1 Entrainment Mortality and Entrainment Survival
- A7-2 Existing Entrainment Survival Studies
- A7-3 Analysis by EPA of 13 Existing Studies
- A7-4 Principles to Guide Future Studies of Entrainment Survival
- A7-5 Conclusions

**Chapter A8: Characterization of CWIS Impacts by Water Body Type**

- A8-1 Development of a Database of I&E Rates
- A8-2 CWIS Impingement and Entrainment Impacts in Rivers and Streams
- A8-3 CWIS Impingement and Entrainment Impacts in Lakes and Reservoirs
- A8-4 CWIS Impingement and Entrainment in the Great Lakes
- A8-5 CWIS Impingement and Entrainment Impacts in Estuaries
- A8-6 CWIS Impingement and Entrainment Impacts in Oceans
- A8-7 Summary and Conclusions

**Chapter A9: Economic Benefit Categories and Valuation Methods**

- A9-1 Economic Benefit Categories Applicable to the § 316(b) Rule
- A9-2 Benefit Category Taxonomies
- A9-3 Direct Use Benefits
- A9-4 Indirect Use Benefits
- A9-5 Nonuse Benefits
- A9-6 Summary of Benefits Categories
- A9-7 Causality: Linking the § 316(b) Rule to Beneficial Outcomes
- A9-8 Conclusions

**Chapter A10: Estimating Benefits with a Random Utility Model (RUM)**

- A10-1 Site Choice Model
- A10-2 Trip Frequency Model
- A10-3 Welfare Estimation
- A10-4 Data Sources
- A10-5 Limitations and Uncertainties

**Chapter A11: Habitat-Based Replacement Cost Method**

- A11-1 Overview of HRC Valuation of I&E Resource Losses
- A11-2 Steps in the HRC
- A11-3 Use of the HRC Method for § 316(b) Evaluations
- A11-4 Strengths and Weakness of the HRC Method

**Chapter A12: Threatened & Endangered Species Analysis Methods**

- A12-1 Listed Species Background
- A12-2 Framework for Identifying Listed Species Potentially at Risk of I&E
- A12-3 Identification of Species of Concern at Case Study Sites
- A12-4 Benefit Categories Applicable for Impacts on T&E Species
- A12-5 Methods Available for Estimating the Economic Value Associated with I&E of T&E Species
- A12-6 Issues in the Application of the T&E Valuation Approaches
- A12-7 Conclusions

**Appendix A1****Chapter B1: Background**

- B1-1 Overview of Transition Zone Case Study Facilities
- B1-2 Environmental Setting
- B1-3 Water Withdrawals and Uses
- B1-4 Socioeconomic Characteristics

**Chapter B2: Technical and Economic Descriptions of In Scope Facilities of the Delaware Estuary Transition Zone**

- B2-1 Operational Profile
- B2-2 CWIS Configuration and Water Withdrawal

**Chapter B3: Evaluation of I&E Data**

- B3-1 Transition Zone Species Vulnerable to I&E
- B3-2 Life Histories of Primary Species Impinged and Entrained
- B3-3 Salem I&E Monitoring and PSEG's Methods for Calculating Annual I&E
- B3-4 Salem's Annual Impingement
- B3-5 Salem's Annual Entrainment
- B3-6 Extrapolation of Salem's I&E Rates to Other Transition Zone Facilities
- B3-7 Salem's Current I&E
- B3-8 Cumulative Impacts: Summary of Estimated Total I&E at All Transition Zone CWIS

**Chapter B4: Economic Value of I&E Losses Based on Benefits Transfer Techniques**

- B4-1 Overview of Valuation Approach
- B4-2 Economic Value of Average Annual Recreational Fishery Losses at the Salem Facility
- B4-3 Economic Value of Average Annual Commercial Fishery Losses at the Salem Facility
- B4-4 Economic Value of Forage Fish Losses
- B4-5 Nonuse Values
- B4-6 Summary of Mean Annual Value of Economic Losses at Salem

- B4-7 Total Economic Damages for Generating Facilities Regulated Under Phase 2
- B4-8 Total Economic Damages for All Transition Zone CWIS

### **Chapter B5: RUM Analysis**

- B5-1 Data Summary
- B5-2 Site Choice Models
- B5-3 Trip Frequency Model
- B5-4 Welfare Estimates
- B5-5 Limitations and Uncertainty

### **Chapter B6: Benefits Analysis for the Delaware Estuary**

- B6-1 Summary Figures of Salem's Baseline Losses
- B6-2 Potential Economic Benefits due to Regulation
- B6-3 Summary of Omissions, Biases, and Uncertainties in the Benefits Analysis

### **Chapter B7: Conclusions**

### **Appendix B1: Survival Factors and Other Parameters Used by PSEG to Estimate I&E Losses at Salem**

### **Appendix B2: Delaware Estuary Life History Parameter Values**

### **Chapter C1: Background**

- C1-1 Overview of Nine Ohio River Case Study Facilities
- C1-2 Environmental Setting
- C1-3 Water Withdrawals and Uses
- C1-4 Socioeconomic Characteristics

### **Chapter C2: Technical & Economic Facility Descriptions**

- C2-1 Plant Configuration
- C2-2 CWIS Configuration and Water Withdrawal

### **Chapter C3: Evaluation of I&E Data**

- C3-1 Ohio River Aquatic Species Vulnerable to I&E
- C3-2 Life Histories of Primary Species Impinged and Entrained
- C3-3 Facility Impingement and Entrainment Monitoring Methods
- C3-4 Annual Impingement at Nine Ohio River Facilities
- C3-5 Annual Entrainment at Nine Ohio River Case Study Facilities
- C3-6 Methods Used to Extrapolate I&E Rates to Other Ohio River Facilities
- C3-7 Annual Impingement at Nine Ohio River Case Study Facilities

- C3-8 Annual Entrainment at Nine Ohio River Case Study Facilities
- C3-9 Cumulative Impacts: Summary of Total Ohio River I&E

#### **Chapter C4: Value of Baseline I&E Losses from Selected Facilities on the Ohio River**

- C4-1 Overview of Valuation Approach
- C4-2 Economic Value of Average Annual Losses to Recreational Fisheries Resulting from I&E at Nine Facilities on the Ohio River
- C4-3 Economic Value of Forage Fish Losses
- C4-4 Nonuse Values
- C4-5 Summary of Mean Annual Economic Value of I&E at Nine Ohio River Case Study Facilities
- C4-6 Extrapolation of Baseline Losses to Other Facilities on the Ohio River

#### **Chapter C5: RUM Analysis**

- C5-1 Data Summary
- C5-2 Site Choice Models
- C5-3 Trip Participation Model
- C5-4 Welfare Estimates
- C5-5 Limitations and Uncertainties

#### **Chapter C6: Benefits Analysis for the Ohio River**

- C6-1 Economic Benefits of Reduced I&E of Fishery Species At Ohio River Facilities
- C6-2 Summary of Omissions, Biases, and Uncertainties in the Benefits Analysis

#### **Chapter C7: Conclusions**

#### **Appendix C1: Ohio River Fish Species Life History Parameter Values**

#### **Appendix C2: Species Groups for Ohio River**

#### **Appendix C3: Individual Facility Results for Annual Losses and Value of I&E at Nine Facilities on the Ohio River**

#### **Chapter D1: Background**

- D1-1 Overview of Case Study Facilities
- D1-2 Environmental Setting
- D1-3 Socioeconomic Characteristics

#### **Chapter D2: Technical Description of Case Study Facilities**

- D2-1 Operational Profiles
- D2-2 CWIS Configuration and Water Withdrawal



**Chapter D3: Evaluation of I&E Data**

- D3-1 Tampa Bay Aquatic Species Vulnerable to I&E
- D3-2 Life Histories of Primary Species Impinged and Entrained
- D3-3 Big Bend Impingement and Entrainment Monitoring Methods
- D3-4 Annual Impingement at Big Bend
- D3-5 Annual Entrainment at Big Bend
- D3-6 EPA's Methods for Extrapolating Big Bend's I&E Rates to Other In-Scope Facilities of Tampa Bay
- D3-7 EPA's Estimates of Big Bend's Impingement Extrapolated to Other In-Scope Facilities of Tampa Bay
- D3-8 EPA's Estimates of Big Bend's Entrainment Extrapolated to Other In-Scope Facilities of Tampa Bay
- D3-9 Cumulative Impacts: Summary of Total I&E of Tampa Bay In-Scope Facilities
- D3-10 Evaluation of Recent Larval Abundance Records as Indicators of Current Entrainment Losses at Tampa Bay CWIS

**Chapter D4: Value of Baseline I&E Losses from Four Facilities on Tampa Bay**

- D4-1 Overview of Valuation Approach
- D4-2 Economic Value of Recreational Fishery Losses
- D4-3 Economic Value of Average Annual Commercial Fishery Losses Resulting from I&E at Big Bend
- D4-4 Indirect Use: Forage Fish
- D4-5 Nonuse Values
- D4-6 Summary of Economic Valuation of Mean Annual I&E at Big Bend
- D4-7 Summary of Annual Value of Baseline Economic Losses from I&E at Tampa Bay Facilities

**Chapter D5: RUM Analysis**

- D5-1 Data Summary
- D5-2 Site Choice Model
- D5-3 Trip Participation Model
- D5-4 Welfare Estimates
- D5-5 Limitations and Uncertainty

**Chapter D6: Benefits Analysis for Four Facilities on Tampa Bay**

- D6-1 Overview of I&E and Associated Economic Losses
- D6-2 Economic Benefits of Reduced I&E of Selected Species at Four In-Scope Facilities on Tampa Bay
- D6-3 Summary of Omissions, Biases, and Uncertainties in the Benefits Analysis

**Chapter D7: Conclusions**

---

## **Appendix D1: Life History Parameter Values Used to Evaluate I&E**

### **Chapter E1: Background**

- E1-1 Overview of Case Study Facilities
- E1-2 Environmental Setting
- E1-3 Socioeconomic Characteristics

### **Chapter E2: Technical Description of Facilities**

- E2-1 Operational Profile
- E2-2 CWIS Configuration and Water Withdrawal

### **Chapter E3: Evaluation of I&E Data**

- E3-1 Aquatic Species Vulnerable to I&E at the Pittsburg and Contra Costa Power Plants
- E3-2 Life Histories of Species Impinged and Entrained at the Pittsburg and Contra Costa Plants
- E3-3 Facility Methods for Estimating I&E
- E3-4 Annual Impingement
- E3-5 Annual Entrainment
- E3-6 Summary: Combined Impacts of Pittsburg and Contra Costa

### **Chapter E4: Economic Value of I&E Losses Based on Benefits Transfer Techniques**

- E4-1 Overview of Valuation Approach
- E4-2 Economic Value of Recreational Fishery Losses Resulting from I&E at Pittsburg and Contra Costa
- E4-3 Nonuse Values
- E4-4 Summary of Annual Value of Baseline Economic Losses at Pittsburg and Contra Costa

### **Chapter E5: Societal Revealed Preference Approach for Valuing Special Status Fish Species**

- E5-1 Valuing Special Status Species
- E5-2 Habitat Restoration Costs
- E5-3 Opportunity Costs of Water Use Foregone to Protect Special Status Species Fish
- E5-4 Current Abundance and Restoration Targets
- E5-5 Total Costs for Special Status Species Fish
- E5-6 Conclusions

### **Chapter E6: Benefits Analysis**

- E6-1 Summary of Current I&E and Associated Economic Impacts
- E6-2 Potential Economic Benefits due to Regulation
- E6-3 Summary of Omissions, Biases, and Uncertainties in the Benefits Analysis

**Chapter E7: Conclusions****Appendix E1: Life History Parameter Values Used to Evaluate I&E****Appendix E2: Valuing Water Uses Foregone****Appendix E3: Presentation of Population Estimates****Chapter F1: Introduction**

- F1-1 Overview of Case Study Facility
- F1-2 Environmental Setting
- F1-3 Socioeconomic Characteristics

**Chapter F2: Technical Description of the Brayton Point Station**

- F2-1 Operational Profile
- F2-2 CWIS Configuration and Water Withdrawal
- F2-3 Brayton Point Generation

**Chapter F3: Evaluation of I&E Data**

- F3-1 Species Impinged and Entrained at Brayton Point
- F3-2 Life Histories of Major Species Impinged and Entrained
- F3-3 Brayton Point Generating Station's I&E Sampling Methods
- F3-4 Annual Impingement and Entrainment
- F3-5 Summary

**Chapter F4: Value of I&E Losses at the Brayton Point Station Based on Benefits Transfer Techniques**

- F4-1 Overview of Valuation Approach
- F4-2 Economic Value of Average Annual Losses to Recreational Fisheries Resulting from I&E at Brayton Point Station
- F4-3 Economic Value of Average Annual Commercial Fishery Losses Resulting from I&E at Brayton Point Station
- F4-4 Economic Value of Forage Fish Losses
- F4-5 Nonuse Values
- F4-6 Summary of Mean Annual Economic Value of I&E at Brayton Point Station

**Chapter F5: HRC Valuation of I&E Losses at Brayton Point Station**

- F5-1 Step 1: Quantify I&E Losses
- F5-2 Step 2: Identify Habitat Requirements
- F5-3 Step 3: Identify Potential Habitat Restoration Alternatives to Offset I&E Losses
- F5-4 Step 4: Consolidate, Categorize, and Prioritize Identified Habitat Restoration Alternatives

F5-5	Step 5: Quantify the Expected Increases in Species Production for the Prioritized Habitat Restoration Alternatives
F5-6	Step 6: Scaling Preferred Restoration Alternatives
F5-7	Unit Costs
F5-8	Total Cost Estimation
F5-9	Conclusions

## **Chapter F6: Benefits Analysis for the Brayton Point Station**

F6-1	Summary of Current I&E and Associated Economic Impacts
F6-2	Potential Economic Benefits due to Regulation
F6-3	Summary of Omissions, Biases, and Uncertainties in the Benefits Analysis

## **Chapter F7: Conclusions**

## **Appendix F1: Life History Parameter Values Used to Evaluate I&E**

## **Chapter G1: Background**

G1-1	Overview of Case Study Facilities
G1-2	Environmental Setting
G1-3	Socioeconomic Characteristics

## **Chapter G2: Technical and Economic Descriptions of the Seabrook and Pilgrim Facilities**

G2-1	Operational Profile
G2-2	CWIS Configuration and Water Withdrawal

## **Chapter G3: Evaluation of I&E Data**

G3-1	Aquatic Species Vulnerable to I&E at the Seabrook and Pilgrim Facilities
G3-2	Life Histories of Most Abundant Species in Seabrook and Pilgrim I&E Collections
G3-3	Seabrook's Methods for Estimating Impingement and Entrainment
G3-4	Seabrook's Annual Impingement and Entrainment
G3-5	Pilgrim's Methods for Estimating Impingement and Entrainment
G3-6	Pilgrim's Annual Impingement and Entrainment
G3-7	Summary and Comparison of I&E at Seabrook and Pilgrim
G3-8	Potential Biases and Uncertainties in I&E Estimates

## **Chapter G4: Value of I&E Losses at the Seabrook and Pilgrim Facilities Based on Benefits Transfer Techniques**

G4-1	Overview of Valuation Approach
G4-2	Economic Value of Average Annual Losses to Recreational Fisheries Resulting from I&E at Seabrook and Pilgrim Facilities
G4-3	Economic Value of Average Annual Commercial Fishery Losses Resulting from I&E at Seabrook and Pilgrim

- G4-4 Economic Value of Forage Fish Losses
- G4-5 Nonuse Values
- G4-6 Summary of Mean Annual Economic Value of I&E at Seabrook and Pilgrim

## **Chapter G5: HRC Valuation of I&E Losses at the Pilgrim Facility**

- G5-1 Step 1: Quantify I&E Losses
- G5-2 Step 2: Identify Habitat Requirements
- G5-3 Step 3: Identify Potential Habitat Restoration Alternatives to Offset I&E Losses
- G5-4 Step 4: Consolidate, Categorize, and Prioritize Identified Habitat Restoration Alternatives
- G5-5 Step 5: Quantify the Expected Increases in Species Production for the Prioritized Habitat Restoration Alternatives
- G5-6 Step 6: Scaling Preferred Restoration Alternatives
- G5-7 Unit Costs
- G5-8 Total Cost Estimation
- G5-9 Conclusions

## **Chapter G6: Benefits Analysis for the Seabrook and Pilgrim Facilities**

- G6-1 Overview of I&E and Associated Economic Values
- G6-2 Baseline Losses Using HRC Method
- G6-3 Anticipated Economic Benefits of Reduced I&E from Various Technologies
- G6-4 Summary of Omissions, Biases, and Uncertainties in the Benefits Analysis

## **Chapter G7: Conclusions**

## **Appendix G1: Life History Parameter Values Used to Evaluate I&E**

## **Chapter H1: Background**

- H1-1 Overview of J.R. Whiting Facility
- H1-2 Environmental Setting
- H1-3 Socioeconomic Characteristics

## **Chapter H2: Technical and Economic Descriptions of the J.R. Whiting Facility**

- H2-1 Baseline Operational Characteristics
- H2-2 CWIS Configuration and Water Withdrawal

## **Chapter H3: Evaluation of I&E Data**

- H3-1 Species Vulnerable to I&E
- H3-2 Life Histories of Major Species Impinged and Entrained
- H3-3 J.R. Whiting's Methods for Estimating I&E
- H3-4 J.R. Whiting's Annual I&E Without the Net

- H3-5 J.R. Whiting’s Annual Impingement With the Ne
- H3-6 Summary

#### **Chapter H4: Economic Value of I&E Losses Based on Benefits Transfer Techniques**

- H4-1 Overview of Valuation Approach
- H4-2 Value of Baseline Recreational Fishery Losses at J.R. Whiting Facility
- H4-3 Baseline Economic Losses from Commercial Fishing
- H4-4 Indirect Use: Forage Fish
- H4-5 Nonuse Values
- H4-6 Summary of Annual Value of Baseline Economic Losses at J.R. Whiting

#### **Chapter H5: Streamlined HRC Valuation of I&E Losses at the J.R. Whiting Facility**

- H5-1 Quantify I&E Losses by Species (Step 1)
- H5-2 Identify Species Habitat Requirements (Step 2), Identify Habitat Restoration Alternatives (Step 3), and Prioritize Restoration Alternatives (Step 4)
- H5-3 Quantify the Benefits for the Prioritized Habitat Restoration Alternatives (Step 5)
- H5-4 Scale the Habitat Restoration Alternatives to Offset I&E Losses (Step 6)
- H5-5 Estimate “Unit Costs” for the Habitat Restoration Alternatives (Step 7)
- H5-6 Develop Total Cost Estimates for I&E Losses (Step 8)

#### **Chapter H6: Benefits Analysis for the J.R. Whiting Facility**

- H6-1 Summary of Figures of Baseline Losses
- H6-2 Baseline Economic Losses
- H6-3 Economic Benefits of Installing a Barrier Net
- H6-4 Potential Economic Benefits due to Regulation

#### **Chapter H7: Conclusions**

#### **Appendix H1: Life History Parameter Values Used to Evaluate I&E**

#### **Chapter I1: Background**

- I1-1 Overview of Monroe Facility
- I1-2 Environmental Setting
- I1-3 Socioeconomic Characteristics

#### **Chapter I2: Technical Description of Monroe**

- I2-1 Operational Profiles
- I2-2 CWIS Configuration and Water Withdrawal

**Chapter I3: Evaluation of I&E Data**

- I3-1 Species Impinged and Entrained at Monroe
- I3-2 Life Histories of Major Species Impinged and Entrained
- I3-3 Methods for Estimating I&E at Monroe
- I3-4 Annual Impingement and Entrainment

**Chapter I4: Economic Value of I&E Losses Based on Benefits Transfer Techniques**

- I4-1 Overview of Valuation Approach
- I4-2 Value of Baseline Recreational Fishery Losses at the Monroe Facility
- I4-3 Value of Baseline Commercial Fishery Losses at the Monroe Facility
- I4-5 Nonuse Values for Baseline Losses at the Monroe Facility

**Chapter I5: Streamlined HRC Valuation of I&E Losses at the Monroe Facility**

- I5-1 Quantify I&E Losses by Species (Step 1)
- I5-2 Identify Species Habitat Requirements (Step 2), Identify Habitat Restoration Alternatives (Step 3), and Prioritize Restoration Alternatives
- I5-3 Quantify the Benefits for the Prioritized Habitat Restoration Alternatives (Step 5)
- I5-4 Scale the Habitat Restoration Alternatives to Offset I&E Losses (Step 6)
- I5-5 Estimate “Unit Costs” for the Habitat Restoration Alternatives (Step 7)
- I5-6 Develop Total Cost Estimates for I&E Losses

**Chapter I6: Benefits Analysis for the Monroe Facility(Step 8)**

- I6-1 Overview of I&E and Associated Losses
- I6-2 Potential Economic Benefits due to Regulations
- I6-3 Summary of Omissions, Biases, and Uncertainties in the Benefits Analysis

**Chapter I7: Conclusions****Appendix I1: Monroe Life History Parameter Values****Glossary****References**